PEN MEETING HE W

ORIGINAL

OPEN MEETING ITEM

0000016547

1

28

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION ED

410 with

2 JEFF HATCH-MILLER Chairman 4 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Commissioner MARC SPITZER Commissioner MIKE GLEASON Commissioner KRISTIN K. MAYES Commissioner 8 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, INC. FOR A LIMITED 10 WAIVER OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF A.A.C. R14-2-801, ET SEO., AND CERTAIN 11 RELATED RELIEF. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION 12 OF ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, INC., AN ARIZONA 13 CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT 14 FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES 15 IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS 16 SUN CITY WEST WATER AND WASTEWATER DISTRICTS. 17 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER 18 COMPANY, INC., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A 19 DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT 20 AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED 21 THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS SUN CITY WATER AND WASTEWATER 22 DISTRICTS. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION 23 OF ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, INC., AN ARIZONA 24 CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT 25 FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES 26 IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS 27 MOHAVE WATER DISTRICT AND ITS HAVASU WATER DISTRICT.

2005 JAN 21 P 4: 09

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

Docket No. W-01303A-01-0983

Docket No. WS-01303A-02-0867

Docket No. WS-01303A-02-0868

Docket No. W-01303A-02-0869

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JAN 2 1 2005

DOCKETED BY

NOTICE OF FILING PROPOSED ORDER

1	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION	Docket No. WS-01303A-02-0870
2	OF ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, INC., AN ARIZONA	
	CORPORATION, FOR A	
3	DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT	
	FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT	
4	AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES	
	IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED	
5	THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS	
. ,	MOHAVE WATER DISTRICT AND ITS	
6	ANTHEM WATER DISTRICT, ITS AGUA	
7	FRIA WATER DISTRICT, AND ITS ANTHEM/AGUA FRIA WASTEWATER	
	DISTRICT.	
8	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION	Docket No. W-01303A-02-0908
	OF ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER	Docket 140. W-01505/A-02-0508
9	COMPANY, INC., AN ARIZONA	
	CORPORATION, FOR A	
10	DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT	
	FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT	
11	AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES	
10	IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED	
12	THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS TUBAC WATER DISTRICT.	NOTICE OF FILING PROPOSED ORDER
13	TUBAC WATER DISTRICT.	
10		
14	The Utilities Division ("Staff") of the Ar	rizona Corporation Commission hereby provides
15	notice that Staff has filed the attached proposed	order for the Commission's consideration. The
15 16		order for the Commission's consideration. The or the Commission's open meeting on February 8
		or the Commission's open meeting on February 8
16	proposed order has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for and 9, 2005. For the reasons set forth in the text the Commission approve the proposed order.	or the Commission's open meeting on February 8 of the proposed order, Staff recommends that
16 17	proposed order has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for and 9, 2005. For the reasons set forth in the text	or the Commission's open meeting on February 8 of the proposed order, Staff recommends that
16 17 18	proposed order has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for and 9, 2005. For the reasons set forth in the text the Commission approve the proposed order.	or the Commission's open meeting on February 8 of the proposed order, Staff recommends that
16 17 18 19 20	proposed order has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for and 9, 2005. For the reasons set forth in the text the Commission approve the proposed order.	or the Commission's open meeting on February 8 of the proposed order, Staff recommends that
16 17 18 19	proposed order has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for and 9, 2005. For the reasons set forth in the text the Commission approve the proposed order.	or the Commission's open meeting on February 8 of the proposed order, Staff recommends that
16 17 18 19 20 21	proposed order has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for and 9, 2005. For the reasons set forth in the text the Commission approve the proposed order.	or the Commission's open meeting on February 8 of the proposed order, Staff recommends that Aday of January 2005.
16 17 18 19 20	proposed order has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for and 9, 2005. For the reasons set forth in the text the Commission approve the proposed order.	or the Commission's open meeting on February 8 of the proposed order, Staff recommends that Alay of January 2005. Timothy J. Sabo
16 17 18 19 20 21	proposed order has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for and 9, 2005. For the reasons set forth in the text the Commission approve the proposed order.	or the Commission's open meeting on February 8 to of the proposed order, Staff recommends that Aday of January 2005. Timothy J. Sabo Attorney, Legal Division
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	proposed order has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for and 9, 2005. For the reasons set forth in the text the Commission approve the proposed order.	or the Commission's open meeting on February 8 to of the proposed order, Staff recommends that 2/day of January 2005. Timothy J. Sabo Attorney, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	proposed order has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for and 9, 2005. For the reasons set forth in the text the Commission approve the proposed order.	or the Commission's open meeting on February 8 of the proposed order, Staff recommends that 2/day of January 2005. Timothy J. Sabo Attorney, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	proposed order has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for and 9, 2005. For the reasons set forth in the text the Commission approve the proposed order.	or the Commission's open meeting on February 8 of the proposed order, Staff recommends that Aday of January 2005. Timothy J. Sabo Attorney, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	proposed order has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for and 9, 2005. For the reasons set forth in the text the Commission approve the proposed order.	or the Commission's open meeting on February 8 of the proposed order, Staff recommends that 2/day of January 2005. Timothy J. Sabo Attorney, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	proposed order has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for and 9, 2005. For the reasons set forth in the text the Commission approve the proposed order.	or the Commission's open meeting on February 8 of the proposed order, Staff recommends that 2/day of January 2005. Timothy J. Sabo Attorney, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	proposed order has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for and 9, 2005. For the reasons set forth in the text the Commission approve the proposed order.	or the Commission's open meeting on February 8 of the proposed order, Staff recommends that 2/day of January 2005. Timothy J. Sabo Attorney, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

1 2	The original and twenty-one (21) copies of the foregoing were filed this a landay of January 2005 with:
3	Docket Control
4	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
5	
6	Copies of the foregoing were mailed this day of January 2005to:
7	Norman D. James Jay L. Shapiro
8	Fennemore Craig
9	3003 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2600 Phoenix, AZ 85012
	Attorneys for Arizona-American Water Company
10	Daniel Pozefsky
11	RUCO
12	1110 W. Washington, Suite 220 Phoenix, Arizona 85007
13	William P. Sullivan Paul R. Michaud
14	Martinez & Curtis
15	2712 North 7 th Street
13	Phoenix, Arizona 85006 Attorney for the Town of Youngtown
16	
17	Carlton G. Young 3203 W. Steinbeck Drive
	Anthem, Arizona 85068-1540
18	Frank J. Grimmelmann
19	42441 N. Cross Timbers Court
20	Anthem, Arizona 85086
20	Raymond E. Dare
21	Sun City Taxpayers Association 12611 N. 103 rd Avenue, Suite D
22	Sun City, Arizona 85351-3467
23	Walter W. Meek, Pres.
	AUIA
24	2100 N. Central Ave., Suite 210
25	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
	John A. Buric
26	Warner Angle Hallam Jackson & Formanek PLC 3550 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500
27	Phoenix, AZ 85012
0	Attorneys for Fiesta RV Resort Limited Partnership

Kenneth C. Sundlof, Jr. Robert Taylor
Jennings Strouss & Salmon PLC
The Collier Center, Floor 11 201 E. Washington Street
Phoenix, AZ 85004-2385 Attorneys for Sun Health Corporation
Mr. David P. Stephenson
Director of Rates and Revenues American Water Works Service Co., In
303 H Street, Suite 250 Chula Vista, California 91910
dula K. Kryes

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION Docket No. WS-01303A-02-0870 OF ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, INC., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS MOHAVE WATER DISTRICT AND ITS ANTHEM WATER DISTRICT, ITS AGUA FRIA WATER DISTRICT, AND ITS ANTHEM/AGUA FRIA WASTEWATER DISTRICT. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION Docket No. W-01303A-02-0908 OF ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, INC., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A 10 DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT 11 AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED DECISION NO. 12 THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS OPINION AND ORDER TUBAC WATER DISTRICT. 13 14 Open Meeting: Phoenix, Arizona 15 BY THE COMMISSION: 16 I. **Procedural History** 17 A. **RWE Case** 18

On December 17, 2001, Arizona-American Water Company ("Arizona-American" or "Company") filed an application with the Commission for an order (1) declaring that the Commission's Affiliated Interests Rules (A.A.C. R14-2-801 et seq.) did not apply to the proposed acquisition of its parent company by RWE AG; (2) in the alternative, granting a waiver of the Affiliated Interests Rules for the acquisition; or (3) in the alternative, approving the acquisition under the Affiliated Interests Rules. After a hearing, the Commission adopted Decision No. 65453 ("RWE Order"), which approved the proposed acquisition under the Affiliated Interests Rules with various conditions. Condition 15 of the RWE Order imposed a three-year rate moratorium on Arizona-American. The three-year moratorium began on the closing date of the acquisition, and concludes on January 10, 2006.

¹ Docket No. W-01303A-01-0983 (the "RWE Case").

1 | 2 | 3 |

3 |

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

1617

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

² Docket No. WS-01303A-02-0867, et al. (the "Rate Case").

Arizona-American filed a timely Application for Rehearing, which was denied by operation of law. Arizona-American appealed the RWE Order to both the Arizona Court of Appeals and the Superior Court. The Court of Appeals, in a published opinion, found that it did not have jurisdiction to consider the appeal. *Arizona-American Water Co. v. Arizona Corporation Commission*, 437 Ariz. Adv. Rep. 3, 98 P.3d 624 (App. 2004). Arizona-American's appeal in Superior Court is currently set for oral argument on March 7, 2005.

B. Rate Case.

Before the moratorium period began, Arizona-American filed a rate case for most of its systems in Arizona.² The Rate Case did not include Arizona-American's Paradise Valley Water District. After a hearing, the Commission issued its rate case order as Decision No. 67093 ("Rate Case Order") on June 30, 2004.

Arizona-American filed a timely Application for Rehearing, which was denied by operation of law. Arizona-American then appealed the Rate Case Order to the Arizona Court of Appeals.

C. Arsenic Motion.

On December 15, 2004, Arizona-American filed a motion in the Commission's dockets for the RWE Case and the Rate Case concerning Arsenic matters. Arizona-American states that it estimates that it will have to spend over \$42 million dollars to comply with the Environmental Protection Agency's ("EPA") new arsenic rules, which lowered the allowed amount of arsenic in drinking water to 10 parts per billion from 50 parts per billion. Arizona-American estimates that it will spend \$25 million to comply with the new EPA rules in its Agua Fria Water, Havasu Water, Tubac Water, and Sun City West Water Districts. Arizona-American also estimates that it will spend \$17 million to comply with the new EPA rules in its Paradise Valley Water District.

Arizona-American's motion makes two requests: (1) that the Commission re-open the record in the Rate Case so as to consider modifying the Rate Case Order by adding an Arsenic Cost Recovery Mechanism; and (2) that the Commission waive Condition 15 of the RWE Order solely for the Paradise Valley Water District. Arizona-American states that it will dismiss its appeals of the RWE Order and the Rate Case Order if its motion is granted.

II. <u>Discussion</u>.

As we have noted on many occasions, we are very concerned with the costs and difficulty of compliance with the EPA's new Arsenic standard. We continue to urge all Arizona water companies to take appropriate proactive measures to ensure compliance with this standard in a timely and cost-effective manner. In two recent rate orders for Arizona Water Company, we approved an "Arsenic Cost Recovery Mechanism" or "ACRM", which we have found to be an appropriate mechanism to address arsenic compliance. (Decision Nos. 66400 and 66849). Here, Arizona-American seeks approval to take steps towards granting an ACRM for certain of its systems. Under the circumstances, we believe that it is appropriate to authorize these preliminary steps. While we are concerned that Arizona-American did not bring this matter to our attention sooner, we believe that taking these preliminary steps towards an ACRM for Arizona-American is the best course of action at this time.

In particular, Arizona-American seeks authority to re-open the record in the Rate Case so as to consider modifying the Rate Case Order by adding an ACRM. We do not lightly take such a step, because we believe that the finality of our orders is important. Nevertheless, in light of the urgency and the apparent magnitude of Arizona-American's arsenic problem, we believe that under these circumstances re-opening the record in the Rate Case solely to consider the arsenic issue is appropriate. Once an appropriate record is developed concerning the arsenic issues, we will consider whether to modify the Rate Case Order using our authority under A.R.S. § 40-252.

Arizona-American also seeks a limited waiver of the rate case moratorium imposed by the RWE Order. The rates currently in effect in Arizona-American's Paradise Valley Water District were approved in Decision No. 61831 (July 20, 1999). We agree with Arizona-American that the record in that rate case is too stale to be re-opened to address arsenic issues. Accordingly, we will grant a limited waiver <u>for the Paradise Valley Water District only</u> of the rate case moratorium imposed by the RWE Order.

III. Findings of Fact.

1. On December 17, 2001, Arizona-American Water Company ("Arizona-American" or

"Company") filed an application³ with the Commission for an order (1) declaring that the Commission's Affiliated Interests Rules (A.A.C. R14-2-801 et seq.) did not apply to the proposed acquisition of its parent company by RWE AG; (2) in the alternative, granting a waiver of the Affiliated Interests Rules for the acquisition; or (3) in the alternative, approving the acquisition under the Affiliated Interests Rules. After a hearing, the Commission adopted Decision No. 65453 ("RWE Order"), which approved the proposed acquisition under the Affiliated Interests Rules with various conditions.

- Condition 15 of the RWE Order imposed a three-year rate moratorium on Arizona-American. The three-year moratorium began on the closing date of the acquisition, and concludes on January 10, 2006.
- 3. Before the moratorium period began, Arizona-American filed a rate case for most of its systems in Arizona.⁴ The Rate Case did not include Arizona-American's Paradise Valley Water District. After a hearing, the Commission issued its rate case order as Decision No. 67093 ("Rate Case Order").
- 4. On December 15, 2004, Arizona-American filed a motion in the RWE Case and the Rate Case concerning Arsenic matters.
- 5. Arizona-American states that it estimates that it will have to spend over \$42 million dollars to comply with the Environmental Protection Agency's ("EPA") new arsenic rules, which lowered the allowed amount of arsenic in drinking water to 10 parts per billion from 50 parts per billion. Arizona-American estimates that it will spend \$25 million to comply with the new EPA rules in its Agua Fria Water, Havasu Water, Tubac Water, and Sun City West Water Districts. Arizona-American also estimates that it will spend \$17 million to comply with the new EPA rules in its Paradise Valley Water District.
- 6. Arizona-American's motion makes two requests: (1) that the Commission re-open the record in the Rate Case so as to consider modifying the Rate Case Order by adding an Arsenic Cost Recovery Mechanism; and (2) that the Commission waive Condition 15 of the RWE Order

³ Docket No. W-01303A-01-0983 (the "RWE Case").

Docket No. WS-01303A-01-0983 (the 'Rwis Case').

4 Docket No. WS-01303A-02-0867, et al. (the "Rate Case").

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

solely for the Paradise Valley Water District.

- 7. Arizona-American states that it will dismiss its appeals of the RWE Order and the Rate Case Order if its motion is granted.
- 8. Staff recommends that Arizona-American's motion be granted, conditioned on the dismissal of the RWE Order and the Rate Case Order.

IV. Conclusions of Law.

- 1. Arizona-American is a public service corporation, as defined in Article XV, § 2 of the Arizona Constitution.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the RWE Case and the Rate Case and Arizona-American's motion dated December 17, 2004.
- 3. It is in the public interest, subject to the dismissal of Arizona-American's appeals of the Rate Case Order and the RWE Order, to (1) re-open the record in the Rate Case so as to consider modifying the Rate Case Order solely for adding an Arsenic Cost Recovery Mechanism; and (2) waive Condition 15 of the RWE Order solely for the Paradise Valley Water District.
- 4. Under A.R.S. § 40-252, the Commission has authority to (1) re-open the record in the Rate Case so as to consider modifying the Rate Case Order solely for adding an Arsenic Cost Recovery Mechanism; and (2) waive Condition 15 of the RWE Order solely for the Paradise Valley Water District.

19 | . .

20 | .

21 | . . .

22 | . .

23 | . . .

24 | . .

25 | . . .

26 | . .

27 | . .

28 .

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

S:\LEGAL\TSabo\02-0867 AZ-AM\proposedOrder.DOC

Decision No.

V. Order

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Arizona-American's request to (1) re-open the record in the Rate Case so as to consider modifying the Rate Case Order solely for adding an Arsenic Cost Recovery Mechanism; and (2) waive Condition 15 of the RWE Order solely for the Paradise Valley Water District is hereby granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the approvals granted herein are expressly conditioned on dismissal of Arizona-American's appeals of the Rate Case Order and the RWE Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the approvals granted herein shall be automatically null and void without further order of the Commission unless Arizona-American dismisses its appeals of the Rate Case Order and the RWE Order within thirty days of the date of this order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall be become effective immediately.

BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

14 **CHAIRMAN** COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER 15 16 17 COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER 18 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, 19 Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto, set my hand and caused the 20 official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this day of 21 22 23 BRIAN C. McNEIL 24 Executive Secretary 25 26 DISSENT: 27 DISSENT: 28

1 SERVICE LIST FOR: DOCKET NOS. W-01303A-01-0983, et al. 2 Mr. Ernest G. Johnson Director, Utilities Division 3 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Mr. Christopher C. Kempley 6 Chief Counsel Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007 8 Norman D. James Jay L. Shapiro Fennemore Craig 10 3003 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2600 Phoenix, AZ 85012 11 Attorneys for Arizona-American Water Company 12 Daniel Pozefsky **RUCO** 1110 W. Washington, Suite 220 13 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 14 William P. Sullivan 15 Martinez & Curtis 2712 North 7th Street Phoenix, Arizona 85006 16 Attorneys for the Town of Youngtown 17 Carlton G. Young 3203 W. Steinbeck Drive 18 Anthem, Arizona 85068-1540 19 Frank J. Grimmelmann 42441 N. Cross Timbers Court 20 Anthem, Arizona 85086 21 Raymond E. Dare Sun City Taxpayers Association 12630 N. 103rd Ave., Suite 144 22 Sun City, Arizona 85351-3476 23 24 Walter W. Meek, Pres. **AUIA** 2100 N. Central Ave., Suite 210 25 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 26 John A. Buric 27 Warner Angle Hallam Jackson & Formanek PLC 3550 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500 28 Phoenix, AZ 85012 Attorneys for Fiesta RV Resort Limited Partnership Decision No. S:\LEGAL\TSabo\()2-0867 AZ-AM\proposedOrder.DOC

Kenneth C. Sundlof, Jr.

Robert Taylor

Jennings Strouss & Salmon PLC

The Collier Center, Floor 11 201 E. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85004-2385

Attorneys for Sun Health Corporation

Mr. David P. Stephenson Director of Rates and Revenues

American Water Works Service Co., Inc. 303 H Street, Suite 250

Chula Vista, California 91910

Decision No.

S:\LEGAL\TSabo\02-0867 AZ-AM\proposedOrder.DOC